

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/620,379	07/17/2003	Hirokazu Sayama	240438US2	8754		
22850	7590 09/21/2004		EXAMINER			
,	PIVAK, MCCLELLAN	NHU, DAVID				
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	STREET NA, VA 22314	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>,</b>		2818			
				DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/620,379	SAYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Nhu	2818			
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is		
THE MAILING DATI  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro  - If the period for reply spec  - If NO period for reply is spec  - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  The mailing date of this communication.  The mailing date of this communication.  The mailing date of this communication.  The mailing days, a reprecified above, the maximum statutory period set or extended period for reply will, by statut	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE and date of this communication, even if timely filed.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> A	August 2004.				
2a) This action is	· · ·	s action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>-</u> is/a 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s)		n from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specificati	on is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) The drawing(s	) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
		e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se				
•		ction is required if the drawing(s) is ol examiner. Note the attached Office				
Priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119					
a) All b) S  1. Certifier  2. Certifier  3. Copies	ome * c)□ None of: d copies of the priority documer d copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applicate ority documents have been receive	tion No	ge		
* See the attache	ed detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receiv	red.			
		An's	ePa/			
Attachment(s)		76 500	$\sim$			
	s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		2)		

Application/Control Number: 10/620,379

Art Unit: 2818

#### **DETAILED ACTIONS**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I (Claims 1-6) is acknowledge.

Claims 1-6 are remained for examination. Claims 7-18 are withdrawn from consideration being directed to non-election invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

### **Claim Objection**

2. Claims 1, 2, 5, "said gate electrode" should be - said polysilicon gate electrode--

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Noguchi et al (6,682,965 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Noguchi, (see figures 5, 6, 7A-7D, 8A-8B, col. 9, lines 65-67, col. 10, lines 1-15, col.12, lines 25-67), teach a semiconductor device 5, comprising a polysilicon gate electrode 13 provided on a silicon substrate 21, wherein said polysilicon gate electrode is subjected to compressive stress as internal stress therein, to apply tensile stress to said silicon substrate; and ions having a mass number of 70 or more (effective mass of electrons, see col. 10, lines 1-15) are implanted into said polysilicon gate electrode.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,379 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding claims 2-6, Noguchi, col. 1-18, also teach the polysilicon gate electrode is a gate electrode of nMOS transistor; ions having mass electrons are operative to serve as those for forming a source and drain region of said nMOS transistor (see figure 5); ions having mass electrons are electrically inactive ions; the silicon substrate is a strained silicon substrate.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 5 are rejected under U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (6,682,965 B1) in view of Watanabe et al (5,815,223).

Noguchi fails to teach the polysilicon gate electrode has a bird's beak at a lower edge portion thereof, said bird's beak being defined by a silicon oxide film.

However, Watanabe, (see figure 21, col. 18, lines 52-67, col. 19, lines 26), teach the polysilicon gate electrode 5 has a bird's beak 3 at a lower edge portion thereof, said bird's beak being defined by a silicon oxide film.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Sugawara'486, Watanabe'223 are cited as of interest.
- 8. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expired 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see 710.02 (b)).

Application/Control Number: 10/620,379

Art Unit: 2818

Page 4

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to David Nhu, (571)272-1792. The examiner can normally be reached on

Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be

reached on (571)272-1787.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956

David Nhu

Sal

September 15, 2004

DaiRDa